NOX4 activity is determined by mRNA levels and reveals a unique pattern of ROS generation
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NOX4 is an enigmatic member of the NOX (NADPH oxidase) family of ROS (reactive oxygen species)-generating NADPH oxidases. NOX4 has a wide tissue distribution, but the physiological function and activation mechanisms are largely unknown, and its pharmacology is poorly understood. We have generated cell lines expressing NOX4 upon tetracycline induction. Tetracycline induced a rapid increase in NOX4 mRNA (1 h) followed closely (2 h) by a release of ROS. Upon tetracycline withdrawal, NOX4 mRNA levels and ROS release decreased rapidly (< 24 h). In membrane preparations, NOX4 activity was selective for NADPH oxidase over NADH and did not require the addition of cytosol. The pharmacological profile of NOX4 was distinct from other NOX isoforms: DPI (diphenyleneiodonium chloride) and thioridazine inhibited the enzyme efficiently, whereas apocynin and gliotoxin did not (IC50 > 100 µM). The pattern of NOX4-dependent ROS generation was unique: (i) ROS release upon NOX4 induction was spontaneous without need for a stimulus, and (ii) the type of ROS released from NOX4-expressing cells was H2O2, whereas superoxide (O2·−) was almost undetectable. Probes that allow detection of intracellular O2·− generation yielded differential results: DHE (dihydroethidium) fluorescence and ACP (1-acetoxy-3-carboxy-2,2,5,5-tetramethylpyrrolidine) ESR measurements did not detect any NOX4 signal, whereas a robust signal was observed with NBT. Thus NOX4 probably generates O2·− within an intracellular compartment that is accessible to NBT (Blue Tetrazolium), but not to DHE or ACP. In conclusion, NOX4 has a distinct pharmacology and pattern of ROS generation. The close correlation between NOX4 mRNA and ROS generation might hint towards a function as an inducible NOX isoform.
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INTRODUCTION

NOX4 is a member of the NOX (NADPH oxidase) family of NADPH oxidases. These enzymes transport electrons from cytoplasmic NADPH across cell and organelle membranes to generate superoxide (O2·−) and downstream ROS (reactive oxygen species). NOX enzymes have been shown to be involved in the regulation of a wide range of physiological functions, including cell death and survival, differentiation, proliferation, Ca2+ signalling, gene expression and migration (reviewed in [1,2]).

NOX4 was first described in 2000 [3,4]. The enzyme is highly expressed in kidney, particularly in the tubular system [3]. However, NOX4 is also expressed in many other cell types, including endothelial cells [5], osteoclasts [6], smooth muscle cells [7], fibroblasts [8], mesangial cells [9], adipocytes [10], pancreatic islets [11] and embryonic stem cells [12]. It has been suggested to be involved in stress signals in kidney [9,13] and smooth muscle cells [14], TGFβ (transforming growth factor β)-induced differentiation [8,15,16], insulin signalling [10], oxygen-sensing [17,18], cardiac differentiation [12] and transcriptional regulation [19,20].

NOX4 expression is increased by TGFβ induction in fibroblasts [8,15], as well as by angiotensin stimulation of mesangial cells in the kidney [9]. These stimulations were paralleled by an increase in ROS generation, suggesting that NOX4 activity is regulated, at least in part, at the transcriptional level.

Although NOX1, NOX2 and NOX3 activity depends on the presence of activator or organizer subunits (NOXAs and NOXOs) [2], NOX4 is active in cells that do not express such cytoplasmic subunits. NOX4 does require the membrane-associated subunit p22phox for function [21]; however, unlike NOX1–NOX3, it does not require the organizer subunit-interacting proline-rich domain of p22phox [22]. Data concerning its dependence on the small GTPase Rac and on the need for cell stimulation are contradictory. Although some indirect data raise the possibility of Rac involvement, reconstitution data in cell types suggest that NOX4 activity does not require Rac and is constitutive [21,23,24].

This constitutive activity of NOX4 upon heterologous expression is an interesting, but experimentally challenging, feature. It raises the possibility that NOX4 might be an inducible NOX isoform, regulated at the level of mRNA, rather than through post-translational protein modifications. However, this feature also presents a challenge for the study of NOX4 owing to the toxicity associated with sustained ROS generation, as is evident from cellular senescence upon heterologous NOX4 expression [3,4].

In the present paper, we report the generation of a cell line that expresses NOX4 upon tet (tetracycline) induction. We use this
system to study basic features of NOX4 activity and its unusual pattern of ROS generation.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium), HBSS (Hanks balanced salt solution), Gateway cloning system including pENTRY and DEST 30 vectors, p221 vector, Superscript II reverse transcriptase, fetal calf serum, bacterialin, neomycin (G418, genetin), tet, cloning primers, calcein and Amplex Red were purchased from Invitrogen. RNeasy columns and DNase were purchased from Qiagen. Scopoletin, HRP (horseradish peroxidase), luminol, cytochrome c, DIP (di-phenyle nieelidinium chloride), thioridazine and apocynin were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Human kidney RNA was purchased from Clontech.

**Cloning of human NOX4**

Reverse transcription was carried out on human kidney RNA with Superscript II reverse transcriptase, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The full-length human NOX4 was amplified by PCR using the primers NOX4-F, 5′-GGGGACAAAGTTGTGACTAAAAAGCACGTCCATGTGCATCC-TGGAGG-3′, and NOX4-R, 5′-GGGGACACTTTGTAACAGAAATGTGGTGACTAAATTGATTC-3′. The PCR product was cloned into a p221 vector and transferred into a pDEST 30 vector carrying a tet-on CMV (cytomegalovirus) promoter using the Gateway cloning system, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Generation of inducible and stable cell lines**

HEK-293 (human embryonic kidney) cells overexpressing a tet receptor (T-RExTM; Invitrogen) were transfected with the NOX4-containing tet-on vector (NOX4-pDEST30) by the calcium phosphate method [25], and selected with 400 µg/ml neomycin (G418) starting 3 days after transfection. HEK-293 cells stably expressing NOX4 and NOX5 respectively were obtained by transfection of pCDNA3.1 with the full-length murine NOX4 or full-length human NOX5β cDNA inserted with neomycin as resistance gene, followed by clone selection after neomycin culture for 10–14 days [23].

**Cell culture**

All cells were cultured in DMEM containing 4.5 g/l glucose, supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum and 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in air with 5% CO2. Selecting antibiotics, bacterialin (5 µg/ml) and neomycin (400 µg/ml), were maintained in transfected cell cultures throughout.

**ROS measurements**

Scopoletin, Amplex Red, cytochrome c reduction and luminol

Extracellular H2O2 was measured by either Scopoletin or Amplex Red. Scopoletin measurements were performed as described previously [26], with minor modifications. Briefly, detached cells (20000) were plated in 0.2 ml of HBSS containing 20 µM scooletin and 0.5 unit/ml HRP, and where indicated, stimuli and/or inhibitors. The plate was kept at 37°C, and fluorescence readings were taken every 1 min for 40–60 min, with excitation and emission wavelengths of 350 and 460 nm respectively, in a Victor3 Wallac or BMG Fluostar microplate reader.

Amplex Red measurements were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with minor modifications. Briefly, detached cells (20000) were plated in 0.2 ml of HBSS containing 20 µM Amplex Red and 0.1 unit/ml HRP, and, where indicated, stimuli and/or inhibitors. The plate was kept at 37°C, and fluorescence readings were taken every 1 min for 40–60 min, with excitation and emission wavelengths of 550 and 600 nm respectively, in a BMG Fluostar microplate reader.

**DHE (dihydrothidium)**

Cells were plated in 96-well plates and, in the case of NOX4, induced with tet, 24 h before experiments. DHE (5 µM) was added to each well 5 min before addition of stimuli. Fluorescence (490 nm excitation and 600 nm emission) was recorded every 1 min for 60 min in a Fluostar microplate reader at 37°C.

**NBT (Nitro Blue Tetrazolium)**

Cells were plated in 12-well plates and, in the case of T-RExTM NOX4, induced with tet 24 h before experiments. Cells were washed in HBSS and incubated with NBT (1.6 mg/ml) in HBSS at 37°C for 45 min. After fixation in 100% methanol and a wash in methanol, the formazan precipitates were dissolved by addition of 560 µl2M KOH and 480 µl DMSO. The amount of reduced NBT was quantified by determination of the absorbance at 630 nm. Photographs were taken before fixation, using a Nikon Coolpix CCD (charge-coupled device) camera (Nikon) mounted on an Axiovert S100 microscope (Zeiss) using a 100× objective.

**Electron spin resonance**

Electron spin resonance measurements were carried out in room temperature (25°C) using an ESP300E spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin), which was equipped with a standard rectangular mode TE102 cavity. Instrument settings were: microwave power, 20 mW; microwave frequency, 9.775 GHz; magnetic field, 3480 ± 60 G; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; modulation amplitude, 1.045 G; receiver gain, 2 × 106; time constant, 20.48 ms; conversion time, 40.96 ms; and total scan time, 41.9 s. Five-scan field-swept spectra were accumulated for each ESR trace.

**Quantification of cell numbers**

Cell numbers were determined by calcein fluorescence in order to calculate the amount of H2O2 produced/cells using 490 nm
Table 1 Primers and probes used in the present study

(a) Primer Sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hs-TBP_for</td>
<td>5′-GGCCGAAAGCGTGAAATATA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-TBP_rev</td>
<td>5′-CGTGGTCTTCTATCCGGAATGA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-GusB_for</td>
<td>5′-CCACAAAGGACACCATCAC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-GusB_rev</td>
<td>5′-AGTCAAAATGTGTCCGCAAAAGT-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-EF1A1_for</td>
<td>5′-AGACCAATGACCCACCATTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-EF1A1_rev</td>
<td>5′-GGCCTGGAATGGTCCAGAATA-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Probe Sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hs-TBP_T</td>
<td>5′-CCGCAGCAAAACGCTGGGA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-GusB_T</td>
<td>5′-CCTGACTGACACCTCCAAGTATCCCAAGG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-EF1A1_T</td>
<td>5′-CACCTGAGCAGTAAGCCAGCT-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

excitation and 520 nm emission filters in separate wells after 10 min of incubation with calcein (2.5 μM) at 37 ºC and normalized to a standard curve of counted cell numbers.

**ROS measurements in membrane preparations**

Membrane were prepared as described previously [27]. Protein concentration was determined with Bradford reagent using BSA as a standard. ROS activity was determined with the Amplex Red method described above with 5 μg of protein/96-well containing 25 μM Amplex Red and 0.1 unit/ml HRP in HBSS (with Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺).

**Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated using RNeasy, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 0.5 μg of RNA, using a mix of oligo(dT) and random hexamers, with Superscript II reverse transcriptase, according to manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA equivalent to 5 ng of total RNA was robot-pipetted and PCR-amplified in a final volume of 10 μl in triplicates in an ABI PRISM 7700 detection system (PE-Applied Biosystems).

Primers for the reference genes TBP (TATA-box-binding protein), GusB (β-glucoronidase) and EEF1A1 (elongation factor-1α1) (see probe and primer sequences in Table 1), were designed by Primer Express 2.0 (Applied Biosystems). NOX4 was detected with primers and probe from Assay-on-Demand™ no. Hs00276431 from Applied Biosystems. The quantitative PCR experiments and analysis were performed with the help of the genomic platform, Genomic Research Laboratory, Geneva.

**Statistics**

Results are expressed as means ± S.E.M. for three to eight experiments performed independently. Paired Student’s t test or ANOVA were performed where indicated and P < 0.05 was considered significant (P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001).

**RESULTS**

**ROS generation in a tet-inducible NOX4 system**

Because NOX4 is constitutively active upon heterologous expression, we generated a tet-inducible system that allowed controlled NOX4 expression. We used the T-REX™ system which is based on the expression of a tet repressor that binds to a modified CMV promoter and thereby maintains the latter in an inactive state. Tet binds to the tet repressor removing it from the promoter, thereby permitting transcription. As the tet-repressor-expressing HEK-293 cells (T-REX™ cells) were transfected with an expression plasmid containing the human NOX4 sequence under the control of a modified CMV promoter as well as a neomycin selection cassette. After neomycin selection, clones (cl 20) were analysed for ROS release without or with tet (TC) (24 h) using a scopoletin fluorescence assay (a). (b) Scopoletin measurements in tet-repressor-expressing HEK-293 cells (T-REX™) without NOX4 transfection as well as from stable cell lines expressing NOX4 continuously, with and without tet. Representative traces are shown; similar results were obtained on at least three independent occasions. RLU, relative light units; WT, wild-type.

CMV promoter and thereby maintains the latter in an inactive state. Tet binds to the tet repressor removing it from the promoter, thereby permitting transcription. As the tet-repressor-expressing HEK-293 cells (T-REX™ cells) were established with a blasticidin resistance, blasticidin was included continuously in the culture medium to ensure high level expression of the tet repressor, which is important to minimize residual expression (leaking).

To generate inducible NOX4 cells, the T-REX™ cells were transfected with a vector construct carrying the full-length human NOX4 cDNA driven by the modified CMV promoter. After 14 days of neomycin selection, several clones were established and investigated for the release of ROS upon tet-induction using a scopoletin assay. Among six clones tested, the increase in the rate of ROS production upon tet induction ranged from no change to 161 times that of uninduced cells (results not shown). Figure 1(a) shows the clone selected for further experiments. In the absence of tet, there was no detectable release of ROS into the medium. Tet did not lead to ROS release in non-transfected cells (i.e. cells expressing the tet repressor only), nor did it enhance ROS release in cells stably expressing NOX4 (Figure 1b).

Quantitative analysis of ROS release using the Amplex Red technique yielded the following results: non-transfected T-REX™ cells generated less than 0.2 fmol of H₂O₂/cell per h. Non-induced NOX4 T-REX™ cells produced 1.5 ± 0.8 fmol of H₂O₂/cell per h.
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system. Cells were induced with tet concentrations ranging from
1.25 fg/ml to 10 µg/ml, and NOX4 activity was assessed by
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after tet addition respectively). The fact that ROS generation
was detectable after 2 h suggests a relatively rapid transcriptional
and translational processing of NOX4. Longer times of tet induction
resulted in a slight reduction of activity, possibly reflecting cell
toxicity (results not shown).

In order to investigate the reversibility of the NOX4 induction,
we followed NOX4 mRNA levels and ROS generation after tet
withdrawal. NOX4 mRNA levels decreased by more than 50%
after 4 h, whereas a 50% decrease in ROS required more than 8 h
(Figures 3c and 3d).

NOX4 activity in membrane fractions: independence of cytosol
and selectivity for NADPH over NADH
We next investigated ROS generation in membrane fractions
without added cytosol using Amplex Red. As shown in Figure 4,
a strong signal was only observed with NADPH as electron donor.
The signal with NADH was only slightly above background
values, demonstrating that NOX4 preferentially uses NADPH.

Thus NOX4 is similar to other members of the NOX family, a
true NADPH oxidase. No enhancement of activity was obtained
by addition of cytosol (results not shown), confirming that NOX4
activity is independent of cytosolic subunits for function. Thus we
conclude that NOX4 is, similarly to other members of the NOX
family, selective for NADPH over NADH.

Pharmacological profile of NOX4 activity
In order to characterize potential inhibitors, four known NOX2
inhibitors were tested for an effect on NOX4 activity (Figure 5).
DPI, the most commonly used agent to block NOX activity,
and thioridazine, an anti-psychotic drug that inhibits NOX2
activity in neutrophils [28], inhibited NOX4 with high to interme-
diate affinity, in a concentration range similar to that observed
for NOX2. DPI blocked NOX4 activity at concentrations in
the submicromolar range (IC50 of 0.2 µM), while thioridazine
blocked at low micromolar concentrations (4 µM).

In contrast, two other NOX2 inhibitors, which inhibit NOX2
in the low micromolar range inhibited NOX4 only with a very
low affinity. Apocynin, which inhibits neutrophil ROS generation
with an IC50 of approx. 10 µM [29], had an IC50 of > 200 µM
for NOX4. Gliotoxin, an Aspergillus fumigatus toxin inhibits NOX2
with an IC50 of 5–10 µM [30,31]. The effect of gliotoxin on
NOX4 showed some low efficacy inhibition, but the maximal
inhibition achieved was approx. 40% with 100 µM gliotoxin,
therefore IC50 values could not be calculated. Thus NOX4 has
a distinct profile of inhibition as compared with NOX2 (Table 2).

Characteristics of NOX4-dependent ROS generation
When different techniques to measure ROS release from cells
were applied, we found an unusual pattern in NOX4-expressing
cells. NOX4 activity induced a strong signal with probes that
detect extracellular H2O2, such as scopoletin (Figures 1 and 2) and
H2O2/cell per h after a 24 h tet induction (n = 6). To put these
numbers into context, tet-induced NOX4 cells released roughly
equal amounts of H2O2/cell as a reconstituted NOX2 system in
HEK-293 cells (results not shown) or NOX5-expressing HEK-293
cells (see below), but approx 5–10-fold less than PMA-stimulated
neutrophils (results not shown). Thus the capacity of NOX4 is
probably in a similar range to that of other NOX enzymes.

We next investigated the effect of tet concentration in the
system. Cells were induced with tet concentrations ranging from
1.25 fg/ml to 10 µg/ml, and NOX4 activity was assessed by
measuring ROS generation (Figure 2). In the absence of tet,
background ROS generation was below 3% of that in cells
induced with 1 µg/ml tet. The EC50 for tet was 91 pg/ml. We
chose a concentration of 1 µg/ml for further experiments since this
concentration was well within the plateau range without apparent
toxic effects.

Time course of induction of mRNA and functional activity
We investigated the time course of NOX4 induction by tet. Both,
NOX4 mRNA and ROS production showed a time-dependent
increase (Figures 3a and 3b). However, mRNA elevations were
detected earlier than the increased ROS generation (1 and 2 h
after tet addition respectively). The fact that ROS generation
was detectable after 2 h suggests a relatively rapid transcriptional
and translational processing of NOX4. Longer times of tet induction
resulted in a slight reduction of activity, possibly reflecting cell
toxicity (results not shown).

In order to investigate the reversibility of the NOX4 induction,
we followed NOX4 mRNA levels and ROS generation after tet
withdrawal. NOX4 mRNA levels decreased by more than 50%
after 4 h, whereas a 50% decrease in ROS required more than 8 h
(Figures 3c and 3d).

NOX4 activity in membrane fractions: independence of cytosol
and selectivity for NADPH over NADH
We next investigated ROS generation in membrane fractions
without added cytosol using Amplex Red. As shown in Figure 4,
a strong signal was only observed with NADPH as electron donor.
The signal with NADH was only slightly above background
values, demonstrating that NOX4 preferentially uses NADPH.

Thus NOX4 is similar to other members of the NOX family, a
true NADPH oxidase. No enhancement of activity was obtained
by addition of cytosol (results not shown), confirming that NOX4
activity is independent of cytosolic subunits for function. Thus we
conclude that NOX4 is, similarly to other members of the NOX
family, selective for NADPH over NADH.

Pharmacological profile of NOX4 activity
In order to characterize potential inhibitors, four known NOX2
inhibitors were tested for an effect on NOX4 activity (Figure 5).
DPI, the most commonly used agent to block NOX activity,
and thioridazine, an anti-psychotic drug that inhibits NOX2
activity in neutrophils [28], inhibited NOX4 with high to interme-
diate affinity, in a concentration range similar to that observed
for NOX2. DPI blocked NOX4 activity at concentrations in
the submicromolar range (IC50 of 0.2 µM), while thioridazine
blocked at low micromolar concentrations (4 µM).

In contrast, two other NOX2 inhibitors, which inhibit NOX2
in the low micromolar range inhibited NOX4 only with a very
low affinity. Apocynin, which inhibits neutrophil ROS generation
with an IC50 of approx. 10 µM [29], had an IC50 of > 200 µM
for NOX4. Gliotoxin, an Aspergillus fumigatus toxin inhibits NOX2
with an IC50 of 5–10 µM [30,31]. The effect of gliotoxin on
NOX4 showed some low efficacy inhibition, but the maximal
inhibition achieved was approx. 40% with 100 µM gliotoxin,
therefore IC50 values could not be calculated. Thus NOX4 has
a distinct profile of inhibition as compared with NOX2 (Table 2).

Characteristics of NOX4-dependent ROS generation
When different techniques to measure ROS release from cells
were applied, we found an unusual pattern in NOX4-expressing
cells. NOX4 activity induced a strong signal with probes that
detect extracellular H2O2, such as scopoletin (Figures 1 and 2) and
Amplex Red (Figures 3, 6a and 6b), but not with probes that detect
extracellular O2−, such as cytochrome c reduction (Figures 6c and
6d) and HRP-dependent luminol-enhanced chemiluminescence
(Figures 6e and 6f). This contrasts with the behaviour of other
NOX enzymes, such as NOX5, which yields a strong signal with
probes detecting O2− (Figures 6c–6f). Note that in these studies,
PMA-stimulated NOX5 activation was used; the PMA effects on
NOX5-expressing cells have characteristics comparable with the
previously described ionomycin effects (results not shown, and
[32]). Note also that NOX4 activity is independent of or even
slightly inhibited by PMA (Figure 6b).

Our results suggest that NOX4 either generates H2O2 directly or
that it generates intracellular O2− which dismutates intracellularly
to the membrane-permeant H2O2. To distinguish between these
possibilities, we used techniques to detect intracellular O2−. The
DHE method has been used widely to detect intracellular O2−
generation. Indeed, the non-fluorescent membrane-permeant
DHE enters cells freely. Upon interaction with O2−, the mem-
brane-impermeant ethidium cation is liberated, which becomes
fluorescent upon intercalating DNA. As shown in Figure 7, no
NOX4 signal was detected with the DHE method, whereas there
was a clear NOX5 signal. These results are interesting, but they
do not completely exclude intracellular O2− generation by NOX4.
Indeed, a recent study demonstrated that the DHE method does
not allow detection of O2− generated by NOX2 in the phagosome
[33].

We next investigated NOX4-dependent ROS generation using
ESR. With appropriate spin-trap agents, ESR can specifically
detect O2−. ACP is an ESR spin-trap agent that has been shown
to enter cells in its ester form which is then hydrolysed by
cytosolic esterases. This hydrolysed form is able to interact with
Generation of an inducible NOX4-expressing cell line

Figure 3 Close temporal correlation between induction of NOX4 mRNA and activity after tet-induction and omission

T-REx™ NOX4 cells were induced for NOX4 by addition of 1 µg/ml tet (TC) for the times indicated (a and b). In (c and d), tet was withdrawn after 24 h, and the run-down was assessed at the indicated time points. (a) NOX4 mRNA was determined by quantitative PCR, normalized to three house-keeping genes and is shown as fold increase over non-induced NOX4 T-REx™ cells. (b) NOX4 activity was measured as H2O2 production/h using Amplex Red and is shown as fold increase in H2O2 produced over non-induced cells (n = 4). (c) NOX4 mRNA was determined by quantitative PCR, normalized to three house-keeping genes and is shown as percentage of NOX4 mRNA after 24 h of tet-induction. (d) NOX4 activity was measured as H2O2 production/h using Amplex Red and is shown as percentage of H2O2 produced by tet-induced cells after 24 h of tet-induction (n = 3–8). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

Figure 4 NOX4 is an NADPH-dependent oxidase

T-REx™ NOX4 cells were induced with 1 µg/ml tet (TC) for 24 h, followed by lysis and membrane preparation. Membranes (5 µg/well; no addition of cytosol) were added to an Amplex Red mixture in a final volume of 200 µl. NADPH and NADH were added, and mixtures were incubated for 20 min at 37°C before ROS production was measured by Amplex Red fluorescence. Results (means ± S.E.M.) are shown as end values (n = 3–4). **P < 0.01.

intracellular O2− [34,35]. Thus ACP is thought to be an appropriate spin-trap agent to measure intracellular O2− generation. NOX4 activity did not lead to a detectable ESR signal, whereas significant signals could be detected in PMA-stimulated NOX5 cells (Figure 8). However, the design of ACP (i.e. hydrolysis by cytosolic esterases) makes it suited to the detection of cytoplasmic O2− generation, and not for measuring O2− generation within intracellular organelles.

Thus the DHE and the ESR results reasonably exclude NOX4-dependent O2− generation in the cytosol, and probably also in the nucleus and inner mitochondrial space, but they do not exclude the possibility that NOX4-dependent O2− generation takes place within some other intracellular organelle.

One of the oldest and most established methods to detect intracellular O2− generation is the reduction of NBT to formazan, a dark blue precipitate. As NBT needs to be reduced, only O2− (which may act as electron donor or acceptor), but not H2O2 (which is exclusively an oxidizing agent), is capable of reacting with NBT. Furthermore, NBT preferentially detects intracellular O2− [36]. As assessed by a quantitative NBT test (Figure 9), tet-induced NOX4 cells elicited a significant NBT reduction, as compared with non-induced cells. This signal was blocked completely by DPI (5 µM). The NOX4-dependent NBT signal is a good argument in favour of O2− generation. We cannot, however, formally exclude a diaphorase activity (i.e. NBT would receive electrons directly from NOX4 without a O2− intermediate). We also analysed the subcellular distribution of formazan precipitates by light microscopy. No formazan precipitates were observed in uninduced cells (Figure 9a). In tet-induced cells (Figure 9b), the precipitates showed a punctate
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Figure 5  Effect of inhibitors on NOX4 activity
T-REx™ NOX4 cells were induced with 1 µg/ml tet for 24 h before measurements. DPI (a), apocynin (b), gliotoxin (c) or thioridazine (d) were added at different concentrations, and NOX4 activity was measured by Amplex Red fluorescence. Fluorescence values from 50,000 cells were recorded after 20 min and normalized to those obtained from NOX4-induced cells without inhibitor. A four-parameter Hill sigmoid curve was used for the determination of IC50 values. Results are means ± S.E.M. (n = 3).

Table 2  A comparison of the effect of various inhibitors on NOX4 as well as on NOX2 and mitochondrial ROS generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>NOX4 IC50 (µM)</th>
<th>NOX2 IC50 (µM)</th>
<th>Mitochondrial oxidase IC50 (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPI</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9 [46]</td>
<td>5–10 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioridazine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5–2 [28]</td>
<td>10 [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apocynin</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>&gt; 30 [48]</td>
<td>&gt; 300 [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliotoxin</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>3–10 [30]</td>
<td>0.3 [49]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

staining within the cytoplasm. In many cells, this staining was in the perinuclear region, but occasionally also in the cell periphery. The nucleus was systematically spared, but the nuclear envelope (which is part of the endoplasmic reticulum) showed a strong staining.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, an inducible cell line was used to analyse basic biochemical properties of the NOX family NADPH oxidase NOX4. Given the fact that NOX4 generates substantial amounts of ROS without a need for cell stimulation [3,4,21], a stable expression system has inherent dangers: (i) damage to DNA which could lead to cellular senescence [3] or, in cells with deficient p53 function (e.g. HEK-293 cells), to an accumulation of DNA mutations; (ii) damage to mitochondria which may lead to increased mitochondrial ROS generation; and (iii) permanent up-regulation of antioxidant-responsive genes, which would make it difficult to distinguish the acute NOX4 effects from a long-term antioxidant response. Thus an inducible NOX4 system, as described in the present paper, is preferable to cells stably expressing NOX4.

An additional advantage of the inducible system is that it offers the possibility of studying the temporal relationship between mRNA levels and enzyme function. One of the striking results of our study is that NOX4 mRNA levels are closely followed by NOX4 activity. Unfortunately, there are presently no antibodies that reliably recognize human NOX4. Thus it is currently not possible to calculate protein half-life. However, our results based on NOX4 function suggest that the NOX4 protein is rapidly (i.e. within a time frame of hours) synthesized and degraded. Note that this is in stark contrast with available data on NOX2, where the protein and its function persist for several days after transcriptional activity is shut down [37]. Obviously, a heterologous expression system cannot determine whether NOX4 is regulated on a transcriptional level [8,15] in endogenously NOX4-expressing cells. However, our results clearly demonstrate that NOX4 has the potential to function as an inducible NOX, or ‘iNOX’.

To our knowledge, this is the first study of NOX4 activity in a broken cell system. It demonstrates unequivocally that NOX4 is functional in the absence of cytosol, reinforcing the concept that

© 2007 Biochemical Society
Figure 6  \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is the major oxidative metabolite measured from NOX4-induced cells

Cells (20,000/well) were added to detection mixtures for Amplex Red (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) detection), cytochrome c (\( \text{O}_2^- \) detection) and luminol (\( \text{O}_2^- \) detection) respectively. Signals were recorded for 60 min. DPI was used at 5 \( \mu \text{M} \) final concentration where indicated. (a, c and e) Representative traces from each set of experiments. (b, d and f) Means \pm S.E.M. from accumulated signals after 1 h (Amplex Red and cytochrome c) and peak signal (luminol) (\( n=3–8 \)). n.s., not significant; RLY, relative light units; TC, tetracycline. *\( P<0.05 \); **\( P<0.01 \); ***\( P<0.001 \).

NOX4 activity is independent of cytosolic subunits. Importantly, this system also allowed the study of the NOX4 electron source. It is now clear that NOX2, NOX5 and NOX1 use NADPH specifically, and not NADH, as electron donor (reviewed in [2]). However, it has been suggested that ROS-generating enzymes, in the vascular system, might prefer NADH over NADPH [38], and for that reason several authors still use the term ‘NAD(P)H oxidase’. The present study reveals that NOX4 is indeed an NADPH oxidase with a preference for NADPH over NADH. Thus we suggest that the term NAD(P)H oxidase should no longer be used when referring to NOX enzymes.

The inhibitor analysis shown in the present study is, to our understanding, the first pharmacological profiling of NOX4. Not unexpectedly, DPI, a large-spectrum inhibitor of electron transporters including various NOX enzymes, mitochondrial oxidase and xanthine oxidase (reviewed in [2]) was found to inhibit NOX4. The following arguments suggest that the DPI effect is not due to mitochondrial inhibition: (i) inhibition of
Figure 7 DHE does not detect a signal from NOX4-expressing cells

DHE (5 μM) was added to adherent cells and fluorescence was measured for 60 min (Figure 9a). (a) Trace from representative experiment; (b) ∆ values after 60 min (means ± S.E.M., n = 3–5). *P < 0.05. RLU, relative light units; TC, tetracycline.

ROS generation occurred in the submicromolar range, whereas inhibition of mitochondrial oxidase occurs with an IC_{50} of approx. 10 μM DPI [39,40]; (ii) no ROS generation was detected unless NOX4 expression was induced by tet. Thoridazine, another efficient NOX4 inhibitor, lacks specificity, as it also inhibits mitochondrial oxidase and xanthine oxidase in an almost identical concentration range [41,42]. In contrast, neither apocynin nor gliotoxin are efficient NOX4 inhibitors. In the case of apocynin, this is expected, as apocynin is thought (i) to inhibit NOX2 subunit assembly, and (ii) to require myeloperoxidase-dependent metabolism for full activity [43]. The mode of action of gliotoxin is poorly understood, but clearly it is not a useful NOX4 inhibitor.

In conclusion, NOX4 displays a unique pharmacological profile, distinct from that of NOX2 (Table 2).

The atypical pattern of NOX4-dependent ROS generation identified in the present study is most compatible with NOX4-dependent O_{2}^{−} generation within a tight intracellular compartment, devoid of DNA. The alternative explanation, namely direct H_{2}O_{2} generation by NOX4 appears less likely since: (i) NOX enzymes are mono-electron transporters that transport single electrons across a membrane (reviewed in [2]); and (ii) H_{2}O_{2} does not reduce NBT and thus could not account for the observed NBT signal. This conclusion is also in line with a recent study analysing ROS generation in smooth muscle cell membranes [44]. Localization studies of NOX4 are notoriously difficult. Antibody studies suggest a localization close to focal adhesions, within the nucleus or in the endoplasmic reticulum [20,21,24]. However, the specificity of currently available anti-NOX4 antibodies is not undisputed. The use of tagged NOX4 is also not without pitfalls, as it is hard to exclude the possibility that the tag alters cellular localization. In addition, C-terminal tags impair NOX4 activity (B. Banfi and K.-H. Krause, published work and [21]). With this limitation in mind, N-terminally eGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein)-tagged NOX4 yielded interesting results: fluorescence displayed a punctate pattern consistent with intracellular membranes, possibly the endoplasmic reticulum [21,45]. Our data also support the hypothesis that NOX4 is

Figure 8 ESR detects O_{2}^{−} in NOX5 cells, but not in NOX4-induced cells

Cells were detached and resuspended in HBSS to 10^6/ml, incubated with the spin probe ACP (100 μM) for 45 min at 30°C before cell suspension was drawn up in capillaries and placed in the ESR spectrometer. (a) and (b) bars, NOX5 and NOX4, respectively. (c) Mean ± S.D. of peak ESR signal for each condition (n = 3). *P < 0.05. A.U., arbitrary units.
localized in intracellular membranes. The subcellular localization of NBT precipitation is thought to reflect at least to some extent the site of O$_2^\cdot$ generation as the reduced NBT is water-insoluble and precipitates rapidly [36]. Thus the punctate localization of the formazan precipitates, in particular around the nuclear envelope (Figure 8) is consistent with previous studies which report NOX4 localization in the ER. An ER localization is consistent with the DHE findings. Although DHE can freely cross cell membranes, the ethidium cation generated after reduction by O$_2^\cdot$ is impermeant. Thus failure of DHE to detect a signal suggests that O$_2^\cdot$ is generated within subcellular compartments devoid of nucleic acids, such as the endoplasmic reticulum.

Fundamentally, there are at least two possible theories to explain the physiological need for an O$_2^\cdot$-producing enzyme within intracellular membranes. NOX4 might regulate the redox environment within an intracellular organelle; this would be particularly pertinent for the endoplasmic reticulum which is supposed to maintain an oxidizing environment in order to ensure proper post-translational processing of proteins. NOX4 might, however, also regulate protein oxidation in the region surrounding the organelle through diffusion of H$_2$O$_2$. In this case, NOX4 might generate signals involving regulation of activity of kinases, phosphatases and transcription factors.
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